

THE INTERTEXTUAL RELATIONSHIP OF DANIEL 12:2 AND ISAIAH 26:19: EVIDENCE FROM QUMRAN AND THE GREEK VERSIONS

Daniel P. Bailey

The language of ‘awakening’ from the sleep of death in Daniel 12:2 is apparently borrowed directly from Isaiah 26:19: ‘Awake (הִקְיִצוּ) and shout for joy, you dwellers in the dust!’ (MT). But while this echo has been recognised by scholars both ancient (Jerome)¹ and modern,² there remains a question about the underlying text. As M. Hengel has rightly noted, the verbal parallel is closer if we assume that the text of Isaiah 26:19 read by the author of Daniel contained not the hif^cil imperative הִקְיִצוּ preserved in the MT, but the imperfect הִקְיִצוּ attested in 1QIsa^a.³ The verb forms in Daniel and Isaiah are then identical:

Daniel 12:2

וְרַבִּים מִיִּשְׁנֵי אֲדָמַת־עָפָר יִקְיִצוּ...

Many of those who sleep in the dust of the earth *will awake*...

Isaiah 26:10

1QIsa^a: וְיִקְיִצוּ וְיִרְנְנוּ שׁוֹכְנֵי עָפָר

The dwellers in the dust *will awake* and shout for joy.

MT: הִקְיִצוּ וְרַנְּנוּ שׁוֹכְנֵי עָפָר

Awake and shout for joy, you dwellers in the dust!

While it is tempting to ask whether the texts of Daniel and Isaiah could have influenced one other in Qumran, my question here concerns another part of the textual tradition—the currency of the

¹ Cf. J.F.A. Sawyer, *The Fifth Gospel: Isaiah in the History of Christianity* (Cambridge: CUP, 1996), pp. 186-87.

² E.g. J.J. Collins, *Daniel* (Hermeneia; Minneapolis: Fortress, 1993), p. 392.

³ M. Hengel, ‘Zur Wirkungsgeschichte von Jes 53 in vorchristlicher Zeit’, in *Der leidende Gottesknecht: Jesaja 53 und seine Wirkungsgeschichte*, ed. B. Janowski and P. Stuhlmacher (FAT 14; Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck, 1996), p. 60.

⁴ Text in M. Burrows, ed., *The Dead Sea Scrolls of St. Mark's Monastery*, vol. 1: *The Isaiah Manuscript and the Habakkuk Commentary* (New Haven: American Schools of Oriental Research, 1950), plate 21.

Qumran text of Isaiah 26:19 among the *Vorlagen* of the Greek versions. Hengel believes that the Septuagint translator together with his later revisers used a *Vorlage* that included the imperfect וְקִיְצוּ, as in 1QIsa^a.⁵ This is suggested by the future tenses of the verbs ἐγερθήσονται in the LXX and ἐξυπνισθήσονται in the minor versions. These Greek verbs line up with the MT's וְקִיְצוּ according to F. Field, or with וְקִיְצוּ according to Hengel.

However, there may well be an error in Field's Greek-Hebrew alignment. Hengel's claim would then need modification. Field's summary of the evidence in Isaiah 26:19 runs:

וְקִיְצוּ. *Expergiscimini.* Ο. καὶ ἐγερθήσονται. Οἱ λοιποὶ ἐξυπνισθήσονται. (*Origenis Hexaplorum*, 2:475)

I suggest by contrast that the Septuagint's verb ἐγερθήσονται should be aligned opposite the preceding verb וְקִיְצוּ, as below:

MT	Vulgate	LXX	MT translated
וְיָחִי מֵתֶיךָ	<i>vivent mortui tui</i>	ἀναστήσονται οἱ νεκροί	Your dead will live,
וְנִבְלָתִי וְקִיְצוּ	<i>interfecti mei resurgent</i>	καὶ ἐγερθήσονται οἱ ἐν τοῖς μνημείοις	their (lit. <i>my</i>) corpses will rise.
וְקִיְצוּ	<i>expergiscimini</i>	(Field's alignment)	Awake
וְרִנְנוּ	<i>et laudate</i> (Field <i>jubilate</i>)	καὶ εὐφρανθήσονται	and shout for joy,
שְׁכֵנֵי עָפָר	<i>qui habitatis in pulvere</i>	οἱ ἐν τῇ γῇ	you dwellers in the dust!

If this alignment is correct, then וְקִיְצוּ is without a Greek equivalent, complicating Hengel's attempt to use the Greek versions to prove the popularity of the Qumran reading וְקִיְצוּ.

⁵ Cf. Hengel, 'Zur Wirkungsgeschichte', p. 60: 'In Dan 12,2a stammt die Formulierung "viele der Schlafenden des Staublandes werden aufwachen" (...וְרַבִּים מֵעַפְרֵי אֶרֶץ מֵתִים וְקִיְצוּ...), aus Jes 26,19, "aufwachen und jubeln werden die Bewohner des Staubs" (שְׁכֵנֵי עָפָר) [st. וְקִיְצוּ שְׁכֵנֵי עָפָר] [st. וְקִיְצוּ, so 1Q Jes A, LXX A, Θ, Σ]'. Here 'LXX A' is a misprint; read 'LXX, A[quila]'.

My proposed alignment is suggested first by the structure of the Hebrew and Greek versions. Both have only three grammatical subjects in Isaiah 26:19a: ‘your dead’ = מְתוּתֵיךָ = οἱ νεκροί; ‘my corpses’ = גְּבוֹלוֹתַי = οἱ ἐν τοῖς μνημείοις; and the ‘dwellers of the dust’ = שְׁכֵנֵי עָפָר = οἱ ἐν τῇ γῆ. Naturally these subjects are attached to verbs. There are four verbs in the Hebrew: קָם, קָם (both qal impf.), קָם (hif. impv. MT, impf. 1QIsa^a), and קָם (pi‘el impv. MT, impf. 1QIsa^a). The third of the three Hebrew subjects therefore has to take two verbs. In the Greek, however, the number of subjects and verbs matches, leaving one of the Hebrew verbs untranslated. Field implies that קָם is the untranslated verb, while Hatch and Redpath (*Concordance*) imply that there is no untranslated verb: ἐγερθήσονται supposedly reflects both קָם and קָם. But stylistically it would be more natural for the first two Greek verbs to correspond to first two Hebrew verbs. The LXX translator apparently took up the first subject and its verb, the second subject and its verb, and then the third subject and its *nearest* verb, which is clearly קָם = εὐφραίνω. This leaves קָם untranslated.

This alignment is also suggested by the Septuagint translator’s treatment of Isaiah 26:14. ‘The dead will not live; the departed spirits will not rise’ (בְּלִי־חַיִּים רְפָאִים בְּלִי־קוּמִים, 26:14) is the negative counterpart of ‘Your dead will live, their corpses will rise’ (26:19)—at least in the Hebrew, where the first two verbs קָם and קָם match in each verse. In the LXX, however, these verbs are translated differently in the two verses: οἱ δὲ νεκροὶ ζῶντες οὐ μὴ ἴδωσιν, οὐδὲ ἰατροὶ οὐ μὴ ἀναστήσωσιν (26:14); ἀναστήσονται οἱ νεκροί, καὶ ἐγερθήσονται οἱ ἐν τοῖς μνημείοις (26:19). קָם is translated by ἀνίστημι in 26:14 but by ἐγείρω in 26:19—that is, if one accepts my alignment over Field’s. The sense of the Hebrew verb helps determine the alignment.

The verb קָם has the sense ‘to rise from the dead’ in Isaiah 26:14, 19 and at least three other OT passages: 2 Kings 13:21; Job 14:12; Psalm 88:11; usually the sense is negative, of the dead not being destined to rise (Is. 16:19 and 2 Ki. 13:21 are the exceptions). In all but Isaiah 26:19, קָם in this sense is rendered by ἀνίστημι. The translator uses this normal equivalent ἀνίστημι in Isaiah 26:14, but encounters a complication with רְפָאִים. Instead of the MT pointing רְפָאִים, ‘shades, ghosts, departed spirits’, the translator of Isaiah 26:14 (as well as the translator of Ps. 88 [LXX 87]:11) has read רְפָאִים, ‘healers, physicians’, and has translated it accordingly by ἰατροί, as in 2 Chronicles 16:12 and Job 13:4. Yet the Greek still makes sense if

ἀνίστημι is understood transitively with ἰατροί as subject: ‘physicians will not raise [sc. them]’ (Is. 26:14).

A different translation procedure is used in Isaiah 26:19. Here, the translator uses ἀνίστημι *intransitively* (cf. 2 Ki. 13:21; Jb. 14:12), not for the second verb \square ק as in 26:14 but for the first verb Π ת, ‘to live’. This is a free and very unusual translation of Π ת, occurring only twice in the OT (Is. 26:19; 38:9), despite 282 OT occurrences of Π ת and 423 occurrences of ἀνίστημι. But this use of ἀνίστημι for the first verb in Isaiah 26:19 forces a new translation for the second verb: $\text{קָמוּן} \text{בְּלֶחֶם}$ becomes καὶ ἐγερθήσονται οἱ ἐν τοῖς μνημείοις. The ‘corpses’—or ‘those in the graves’ in the Greek (cf. Jn. 5:28)—will ‘rise’. The equivalence of ἐγείρω (usually aorist passive) and \square ק in the weakened sense of ‘rising’ or setting out to take action is common enough in the LXX (1 Ch. 10:12; 22:19; 2 Ch. 21:9; 22:10), but Isaiah 26:19 is the only place in the OT where both \square ק and ἐγείρω have the sense of rising *from the dead* (cf. ταλιθα κουμ = τὸ κοράσιον ἐγειρε, Mk. 5:41). This alone is enough to suggest their translational equivalence herē.

Field’s alignment of ἐγείρω with קָמוּן in Isaiah 26:19 depends on the translation equivalents elsewhere in the LXX. In the aorist passive especially, ἐγείρω can denote ‘rising’ or ‘awakening’ from sleep, translating קָמוּן (Gn. 41:4, 7; Je. 28:39). The required sense of awakening from the sleep *of death* occurs in 2 Kings 4:31, where the Shunammite’s dead son at first ‘did not awaken’ (οὐκ ἠγέρθη = $\text{לֹא} \text{קָמוּן}$). But even when it clearly has this sense of ‘rising’ from sleep, ἐγείρω may correspond not to קָמוּן but to \square ק, ‘arise’, as in Proverbs 6:9 (future passive). There is therefore no necessary equivalence between ἐγείρω and קָמוּן in Isaiah 26:19. Field is probably right to suggest that the reading of the minor versions, ἐξυπνισθήσονται, ‘they shall awake from sleep’ (cf. Job 14:12), was inspired by קָמוּן rather than by \square ק. But this only proves that the revisers glanced at קָמוּן once the three-verb structure of the LXX was already fixed; it does not determine the original LXX alignment.

Nevertheless, Hengel’s thesis about the popularity of the Qumran text type is still tenable. The renderings of the fourth verb קָמוּן in Isaiah 26:19 are all future, suggesting an imperfect קָמוּן , as in 1QIsa^a: εὐφρανθήσονται (LXX), αἰνέσουσιν (Aq.), ἀγαλλιάσονται (Sym.), ἀλαλάξουσιν (Theod.). Since קָמוּן is stylistically inconceivable apart from a preceding imperfect קָמוּן , the latter can probably be presupposed in the *Vorlagen* of all the Greek versions. The author of Daniel 12:2 was indeed reading a popular text.